



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 43

31st October 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

The Four Horseman By Neville Archibald

01

The Four Horsemen By Neville Archibald

Who are the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse? Or perhaps: What are? It is John's tale from Revelation, in the New Testament; but, is also seen in Ezekiel and Zachariah, in the old. They are the harbingers of death and are variously described as: on a white horse, Conquest (pestilence); the second, on a red horse, War (conflict and strife); the third, a black horse, a merchant (famine) who carries scales to measure us; and lastly on a pale horse, is death, accompanied by hades (hell here on earth).

There have been many discussions on these four, they have been used as metaphors for things as far apart as conflict resolution in counselling, to American football as descriptions of team players. The important point in most uses, is their visibility. In end times we expect to see them riding in, creating widespread havoc and making big changes all at once. A catastrophic deluge of change - for the worse. In movies and written works, it is often portrayed as sudden and obvious, given a grand entrance and the fight back against, it gives rise to a hero's quest.

Is it going to be that obvious in the real world? Do you believe in it? Or does it simply represent the slow decay of civilisation in a more accessible form?

In our weekly 'Cross-Roads' talk: <https://rumble.com/v70m90w-tcr-2025-episode-42.html> Last week, I had a vision of the four main topics that I had jotted down as potential talking points, they could be described in various ways; but what best brought them together. They all had a similar intentional end point – that of control of population - yet each had a different approach. At first I likened it to a card game and the winning of tricks. Then it looked more like the rings of power in Tolkien. Each view providing me with a glimpse of what to expect as this play moves forward. But it is not play! It is a battle to save our way of life, and it is very real. The more sobering reference was, of course, the 4 horsemen

in their various guises! Then the question of how apparent they would be to the wider population, and how, unlike their movie style counterparts, many would not see them for what they are. Like an equestrian competition at the local show or better yet a Rodeo, many audience members do not see the dangers involved in the riding events or the potential for the horsemen to run amok. Thus we are seduced by the spectacle rather than aware of the dangers they might incur.

The first horseman might be conquest, perhaps pestilence, I saw in that our pandemic treaty and the 'one health' approach that is the so called answer to it. Bio-security for the world, just hand over control of this to an unelected body, the WHO, (world health organisation). Conquest of bodily autonomy, now in the hands of someone other than ourselves, someone whom we have no ability to influence. In the name of 'Freedom from disease' we give up our own personal freedom to choose.

The second, with a sword, war, conflict, strife, our digital identities, our identities on the whole. Knowing who we are, what profile we fit and what we do, puts us at risk of being categorised into groupings for many a varied reason. The ability then, to pit group against group in conflict, to put us at ideological war with each other. Division paramount. Divide and conquer. Conflict and strife between manufactured, basically artificial divides. Treaty, dividing a nation. Vaxxed vs un-vaxxed. Party loyalties for politics. Green or environmental groupings against farming for goodness sake, an occupation that relies on being green, in every sense of the word, to succeed. Yes, conflict between what would normally be a united group, a nation!

The third Horseman, the merchant with scales to judge, measure and limit. I see the energy component. Scales relate to how much energy, a universal measure of the way we survive, depends on it, from transport of food to refrigerated storage, and to all the modern production we rely on. Now under threat from carbon credit implementation. All of the activities of mankind now to be monitored, measured for the contribution to a curious interpretation of how the world works. Something, it is safe to say, we are still not entirely sure of; yet it must limit us and control us, and in it's pursuit, possibly create more problems than it solves for a majority. The creation of famine, whether real shortages or financial restrictions on the purchase of actually existing produce. (supermarket prices rising beyond ability to pay, wages being diminished by government in taxes and charges to the point that what is left to spend on food, is in all intents a money famine). We are being measured, then judged on our adherence to political limits (which need not reflect reality), man made limits, often enforced due to financial restrictions. A limit of money to do what is physically still possible; I have yet to meet a store-person or trader that could not sell more

building materials, or an unemployed person who would not be happy with some form of gainful employment. We still have that 'poverty amidst plenty' issue!

The last of course is death, accompanied by hades, or hell on earth. If the implementation of all of the above succeeds, then misinformation or lies must follow. These to disguise the results that occur and ensure no one has access to the true reality we could be achieving instead. The kingdom of heaven here on earth! Instead we are increasingly finding ourselves inhabiting that living hell. Simple things in life being made difficult, or almost impossible, due to local, state or federal bureaucracies who seem to believe they are not doing their job unless they are enacting some new law, to manage some imagined or poorly enacted old one; not to mention levying rates and excises far beyond their original scope.

Each of these steps, these implementations, are slowly but surely happening around us. The horsemen ride among us, unrecognised and actually welcomed by many, as solutions to problems, each created to bring about a desire to see a solution, any solution, just to help. The horses whinny with glee as the riders find new ways to expand their pastures, to encompass more of humanity and put them into their yards.

The problems we face are not going to be as easy to spot as the biblical perception of revelation, or the fanciful portrayal on the silver screen. We are going to have to look harder than that, we will need to push our vision forward to see the ultimate outcome of these many proposals. Unlike the assurances that are given when they say we will only use it for good, the superpowers we give this government will remain in place to be used against us, as the needs become more dire, and governments bow to expediency to resolve the crises.

These four major alterations to the way we interact as a society, can put us in peril. The treaties we are signing, like that of the pandemic, are removing our direct control over our own lives. The digital direction we are taking also has its dangers, in that we are giving up our identities, to be absorbed into a collective. To be treated as so many cattle in the hands of the wielders of this information and preyed upon in marketing terms, for reasons other than strictly sales of simple merchandise, but now for ideals and our world view. Our energy and personal productiveness are to be curtailed, stifled to fit in an outside designers viewpoint. We are to be limited by artificial means to keep us at a subservient level.

Finally, information, for as George Orwell said, information is key. *"who controls the past controls the future. Who controls the present controls the past."* To escape this increasing reality, we must first see that these horsemen are among us now, we are becoming used to their presence and we still see them largely as a side show. Believe me, they are not!

Staying on this topic, that of the largely unrecognised threat, we look at the papers to find that our comments on Digital ID and control, are being proved, based on real time happenings.

SpaceX, has just disabled thousands of Starlink devices being used by Myanmar scam centers. (headline CNN).

This highlights the ability of some of the poorest nations to be a potential host to hackers and scammers. Other places, not mentioned, could also be doing similar things, yet we only know when it is discovered. If *SpaceX* is susceptible to this misuse, despite being an up-to-date leader in it's field, you can imagine government bodies, who are nearly always two or three years behind the latest cutting edge technology, being a possible conduit for further corruption. This despite the many inside it who may be trying hard to keep up. How safe is any of this technology when it comes to personal information. Diversity, or spread of knowledge about ourselves, is our only real protection against a data breach with wide reaching damage potential. The more these things are centralised, the more likely complete data profiles can be interfered with. Your Cyber safety, and increasingly, as they move us into a digital money exchange system, your financial safety, is compromised by this collection being in one place.

The CNN article goes on to say:

"The move by SpaceX comes as the global scam industry is growing at an unprecedented rate, according to the UN Office of Drugs and Crime, with criminal groups adopting artificial intelligence and using online cryptocurrency markets to move vast amounts of stolen money undetected."

<https://edition.cnn.com/2025/10/23/asia/myanmar-starlink-scam-centers-spacex-intl-hnk>

With Australia and other countries going down the digital ID route (Digital ID Act 2024 - approved and commenced on 30 November 2024), which provides the legislative framework. The Age related ID discussions for online content, is progressing, giving social media platforms the ability to restrict content based on showing some form of age ID. We will find we all have to have a digital ID by proxy, to access content.

They say it is voluntary of course, but in the next breath 'At a minimum, this will likely require platforms to introduce some form of age assurance.'

<https://www.infrastructure.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/social-media-minimum-age-and-age-assurance-trial-fact-sheet-july-2025.pdf>

'Assurance', 'reasonable steps' and 'platforms will be required to undertake some form of age assurance on account holders' are all pointers to use of the system being limited, unless that ID is recognised (that is: unless we all have a digital ID). All the safeguards and privacy clauses stacked onto these demands are well and good, but digital platforms by their very nature are corruptible as we have seen. Personal responsibility for a child's access, and laws for illegal activity,

both already have capable means of dealing with the problems we face. The extra control will not change this developing technology or its inherent misuse at this point, it will only compound the dangers we already face as individuals.

The Voluntary Nature of this legislation-al demand may be the current situation, but as mentioned in many discussions, this is not likely to last. Even the Communist party of Australia says:

"The federal government claims the digital ID will not be mandatory, but in practice it will be compulsory in many instances."

<https://cpa.org.au/guardian/issue-2163/digital-id-age-verification-esafety-laws-what-you-need-to-know/>

We saw how the 'mandated' but - not compulsory – vaccine passports worked. I think that is definitely a taste of things to come. Others have also questioned the level of difficulty to access services without it, saying it will become increasingly difficult to function without it.

The summing up for me comes from the *PC-Doctor* website, when they say of its risk potential, *"Bottom line: it's not 'all eggs in one basket,' but it is fewer baskets. Whether that feels safer or scarier depends on your level of trust in government and big tech."* <https://www.thepcdoctor.com.au/australia-digital-id-2025-security-privacy>

(Neither of whom I am willing to trust to do right by us going on the previous four years alone!)

An article in the *New Daily*, on misinformation and the public's reaction to it, draws a picture of acknowledgment that, it is out there. The public deciphers this in various ways and with various success. They conclude that:

"Misinformation will likely be a problem no matter how much we try to reduce or remove it from our information ecosystem. It is timely that the federal government is developing a National Media Literacy Strategy."

They then outline some steps that can be taken. Legal and regulatory measures to reduce misinformation, and digital platforms who must be transparent about how they target particular groups. Then factual, quality information to counteract misinformation must be amplified, and finally improving peoples media literacy capability.

The only part I agree with is the last, improving individual ability to discern truth, but the others sound like restriction of free speech according to the definition of what is 'misinformation'. Again Orwell's control of information quote is paramount. Who determines that truth in an increasingly totalitarian world. The second last paragraph shows support for restriction of this material, leading me to conclude that misinformation laws are still under development.

"The majority of respondents – 70 per cent – support the view that the government should take steps to restrict false information on social media, even if it limits freedom to publish and access information."

70% of whom? I was not asked, were you? Are you ready to be fed a diet of only politically accepted truths? What then happens to innovation, discovery? The whole advancement of science relies on challenging accepted truths. To be emotionally manipulated, will also lead to manipulation of natural truths. Our determination of 'what is truth' is already under threat by ideology concerns, just how far the truth is manipulated will become the issue eventually. This is an interesting article that prompts discussion on how much of our future information will be censored on the grounds of someone else's interpretation of truth. Should we be free to make that decision ourselves, or will it be up to the Nanny state?

On the pandemic front, Scientists in the US and South Korea still appear to be playing with fire. Armageddon like fire!

In the Publication '*Science Advances*' and on the premise that it's only research, science is progressing on the development of an avian flu blend. Using reverse genetics they have been able to make a strain that is super aggressive and kills the test subjects (ferrets) within days. Every single test subject! Whoopie, we now have an avian flu strain that kills 100% of mammalian test subjects – hang on aren't we mammals too? Sobering thought. It's not like anything has ever escaped out of a lab before – is it!

This is 'Gain of Function' is it not? Was this not a lesson learned out of China? Or are our researchers and their promoters so keen to develop an understanding that they think risking the world's health is an acceptable gamble?

This is not the only risk of this type since the COVID 'pandemic'. Behind closed doors, this research is ongoing, safety signals from current vaccines are also coming under scrutiny as it is realised that the testing done may not have been as adequate as it could have been. Some scientists are going back and looking anew at what they contain. What they are finding is scary!

*"A peer-reviewed paper by Deisher et al titled, Epidemiologic and Molecular Relationship Between Vaccine Manufacture and Autism Spectrum Disorder Prevalence, delivered one of the most alarming findings in modern vaccine safety research: **childhood vaccines grown in human fetal cell lines contain billions to trillions of fragmented human DNA molecules—capable of entering and integrating into the genome of human cells.**"*

this from Nicolas Hulscher, MPH 21 October, 2025.

<https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/study-childhood-vaccines-contain>

This research proves the accusations made during COVID, that those 'inject-able experimental therapies' were not fully 'safe and effective'. Questions of aborted fetal material being used and contaminating the resultant mass produced vials, to

my mind, are now fully justified in being asked. Ongoing research is proving to be a headache to our controllers and regulators, who it seems, only wish to sweep the whole lot under the carpet. Will we let them?

Despite The Trump administration agreeing to look into and review the threat vaccines may pose, and the engagement of Robert Kennedy in this regard, it would appear that it is still business as usual in the 'health' Industry. I wonder what it will take? Our demands to be heard on something as simple as excessive immigration, is an easy one, it is nowhere near as confronting as demanding a U-turn on vaccine policy, yet even this simple discussion cannot be had here in Australia, or overseas for that matter. Our ire for the far greater risk of mass killings by a manipulated virus, has yet to be expressed on the public stage, let alone in those halls of power, which are supposed to be representative of our collective concerns. I am scared to think what it might take to wake the sleeping populace!

These issues are obvious to some; but not, it appears, enough to raise the conversation level on the ground. We must be the ones to bring this about, despite distractions and lack of mainstream media access. The gathering of people at marches, Australia-wide, provides these conversations with an opening. The future of our children and their children and so on, rely on us to make this happen.

Use our website and the knowledge you can derive from articles like this and others to talk to people who are also concerned. Broaden your knowledge base and start the conversation.

Another article related to COVID, comes out of the e61 Institute. The suggestion that it may be cheaper to end poverty than to put up with it. Published by the ABC.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2025-10-28/cheaper-to-end-poverty-increase-jobseeker-than-maintain-hardship/105866692>

The article starts with Melissa pointing out that she is barely surviving now :

"A few years ago, however, Melissa was among the Australians who had a reprieve from poverty. At the start of the COVID pandemic, a "coronavirus supplement" doubled most welfare payments, essentially ending poverty for many, for a brief moment. But in March 2021, the supplement was scrapped and thousands of families were allowed to fall back into poverty. That's despite research suggesting that it may be cheaper to end poverty than to maintain it."

why is it likely to be cheaper? The article goes on to say:

"It's not a simple equation because many of the potentially preventable costs of poverty won't be realised until poverty is reduced. Gianni La Cava, research director at think tank e61 Institute, says we can estimate many of those costs,

however. "We know that there are big costs associated with maintaining poverty, not just for the affected individuals, but for society as a whole," Dr La Cava tells the ABC."

I applaud this recognition of the true costs our financial system imposes on us. The conclusion I have may differ in it's solution though. Other thought expressed are:

"Giving people enough money to live has immeasurable value in their lives and in the whole community," says Kristin O'Connell from the Antipoverty Centre."

and the article finishes:

"Melissa Fisher thinks the policymakers have the equation wrong.

"I think lifting people out of poverty is a policy choice. That's all it is and the government could make that choice."

I so agree with that last remark! It is simply policy.

For over one hundred years the Douglas social credit movement has been at pains to point out that our system is flawed. Instead of trying to juggle money taken from those who have employment and giving it to those who don't (which is all every government does), we should be looking at how the money available to buy our output is always far less than that given out in wages, dividends etc. This is the discrepancy, the key to our problem of poverty. <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Douglas%20CH%20-%20A-B-and-the-Bankers-CH-Douglas-New-Age-1925.pdf>

So too is our attitude to work! Labour saving devices, constantly being used and improved on, means less manpower is needed to produce all we require to survive. Those whose manpower has been replaced are piled on the scrap heap of unemployment and thus denied the production now achieved (despite it being available). simply because they no longer need to participate. We 'save the labour' but cannot sit back and reap the rewards! Our system, which is made by us, cannot be made to reflect this simple adjustment! It is indeed - JUST POLICY!

It could be changed tomorrow, if it were not for vested interests being at the heart of it. <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/Douglas%20CH%20-%20Breakdown%20of%20the%20Employment%20System.htm>

When C.H.Douglas took these findings, these discrepancies, to those responsible for finance, he was snubbed. They knew it and they wanted it flawed, it suited their purpose: that of initiating control over the populations of the world by simple control of money. They did not need to capture our countries or enslave us in chains, when by simply continuing to manipulate the supply of money they could have us do this to ourselves.

The recognition that we bind ourselves in slavery (wage slavery) is the first step to coming to grips with the fact that we are. Chains of debt are just as effective as real ones, more so, since we do not feel shackled in a physical sense. Please make an effort to find out how you can help to break those chains, the truth can set you free! ***